

From: [Carr, Brian](#)
To: [King, Christopher \(Law\)](#); ["Robert Fox"](#)
Cc: [Lieber, Thomas](#)
Subject: Re: Draft
Date: Monday, February 01, 2016 6:03:40 PM

Chris,

We're available on Friday morning. Let me know if 10.30 would work.

Also, I expect that we will have some additional language to you by COB on Wednesday.

Brian E. Carr
Assistant Regional Counsel
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 2
Office of Regional Counsel
290 Broadway, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10007
212-637-3170 phone
212-637-3104 fax
carr.brian@epa.gov

From: King, Christopher (Law) <CKing@law.nyc.gov>
Sent: Monday, February 1, 2016 5:01 PM
To: Carr, Brian; 'Robert Fox'
Cc: Lieber, Thomas
Subject: RE: Draft

Brian/Tom:

Fyi – City team is not available after 1:30.

From: King, Christopher (Law)
Sent: Monday, February 01, 2016 10:57 AM
To: 'Carr, Brian'; Robert Fox
Cc: Lieber, Thomas
Subject: RE: Draft

Brian:

Are you available to meet with the City on Friday and if so what time?

CK

New York City Law Department • Office of the Corporation Counsel
100 Church Street • New York, NY 10007 • www.nyc.gov/law
cking@law.nyc.gov • w. 212.356.2074

Please Note: The information contained in this email message and its attachments may be CONFIDENTIAL and LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. It is intended only for use of the recipient(s) named above. If you are neither the intended recipient of this message nor the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that disseminating or copying this message (including any attachments) is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify me by telephone or return email and delete the message from your computer permanently. Thank you.

From: Carr, Brian [<mailto:Carr.Brian@epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, January 29, 2016 11:33 AM
To: King, Christopher (Law); Robert Fox
Cc: Lieber, Thomas
Subject: Draft

Chris and Rob,

In advance of our call, please see the highlighted phrase below which clarifies an existing term of the order. The Respondent agrees in Paragraphs 3 and 103 not to contest the Settlement Agreement if EPA takes action to enforce it. The added phrase makes clearer that an action by EPA solely to enforce a term of the order does not allow the City to raise unrelated claims (e.g., challenging the dredging remedy as a defense to EPA collecting an unpaid oversight bill).

3. EPA and Respondent recognize that this Settlement Agreement has been negotiated in good faith and that the actions undertaken by Respondent in accordance with this Settlement Agreement do not constitute an admission of any liability. Respondent does not admit, and retains the right to controvert in any subsequent proceedings other than proceedings to implement or enforce this Settlement Agreement, the validity of the findings of fact, conclusions of law and determinations in Sections IV and V of this Settlement Agreement. Respondent agrees to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement, ~~and also~~ agrees not to contest the validity or terms of this Settlement Agreement in any action to enforce its provisions, **and also agrees not to raise any claim, cause of action, defense or challenge unrelated to the terms of the Settlement Agreement which EPA or the United States is seeking to enforce in such action.**

We'll speak to you at 2.

Brian E. Carr
Assistant Regional Counsel
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 2
Office of Regional Counsel
290 Broadway, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10007
212-637-3170 phone
212-637-3104 fax
carr.brian@epa.gov